

QUANTUM INTERFERENCE PHENOMENA IN THE LOCAL POLARIZATION DYNAMICS OF MESOSCOPIC SYSTEMS: AN NMR OBSERVATION.

Horacio M. Pastawski*, Gonzalo Usaj and Patricia R. Levstein

Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía y Física,

Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Ciudad Universitaria,

5000 Córdoba, Argentina

()

Abstract

It was predicted that local spin polarization in a ring of five dipolar coupled spins should present a particular fingerprint of quantum interferences reflecting both the discrete and finite nature of the system [Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 4310]. We report its observation for the proton system of a $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Fe}$ molecule using a rare ^{13}C as *local probe*. Novel high frequency ($\simeq 60\text{kHz}$) polarization oscillations appear because incomplete ^{13}C - ^1H cross-polarization transfer *splits* the polarization state, in a portion that wanders in the proton system and one that remains in the ^{13}C . They interfere with each other after rejoining.

73.23.-b, 75.40.Gb, 76.60.Lz, 33.25.+k

Typeset using REVTeX

*Corresponding author, e-mail: horacio@famaf.fis.uncor.edu

I. INTRODUCTION.

Mesoscopic systems [1] constitute a very active topic because their transport properties manifest quantum mechanics in its full splendor and they open new possibilities for the design of devices and finely tailored systems which started with the tunnel diode [2]. These involve interference processes both in the space and time domains. The last case includes the consequences of a finite tunneling time in resonant devices [3] and the propagation of a localized excitation in a finite random media. Here, a simple average over impurities configurations produces an apparent diffusion, however, a non-linear σ -model calculation [4] predicts strong long time correlations, meaning that a local excitation returns as a *mesoscopic dynamical echo* after diffusing away and reaching the system boundaries. The observation of these effects in the time domain is difficult because excitations propagate through the system in a time scale that is in the frontier of present technological possibilities. However, a similar phenomenon was also predicted [5] for a local excitation in a system of nuclear spins with magnetic dipolar interaction, which evolves with characteristic times on the hundreds of micro-seconds and can be tested by the powerful NMR spectroscopy. While the discrete nature of spin systems leads to a fingerprint of quantum interferences in the spin dynamics of both finite [6] and infinite systems, the survival of mesoscopic phenomena might not seem obvious since, at high temperature, different configurations must be averaged and it is often described as a diffusive process. Therefore, in order to illustrate the physics we resort to an XY model, where exact analytical results can be obtained (technical details sketched bellow). Fig. 1 shows the evolution of the local magnetic polarization $M^I(t)$ for a ring of 21 spins and an infinite chain at high temperature. At short times a series of quantum beats, associated with the discrete nature of the system, are developed. At around $2300\mu s$ it appears a revival of the polarization. A study of polarization at other sites shows that the wave packet splits in two parts winding around the ring in opposite directions and the maximum appears when they meet again in the original site. Similarly, reflections at the edges of linear chains produce well defined maxima. While we called [5] this phenomenon *quantum dynamical echo*

in analogy with the prediction for quantum dots [4], to avoid confusions that might arise when applied to NMR experiments, we will use *mesoscopic beat* henceforth. In contrast with ordinary quantum beats, its mesoscopic origin is shown by a time scale proportional to the system size (see inset of Fig. 1). In dipolar and J -coupled systems the range of sizes that present mesoscopic beats is much more restricted than what the XY example suggests. For very small systems they become indistinguishable from quantum beats, while for large systems they are attenuated progressively until they merge in the background of the other beats. Our finding that local polarization in a system of five dipolar coupled spins arranged in a ring configuration should retain quantum beats, was surprising since experiments [7] [8] in such a system did not show any signature of these quantum phenomena. This motivated our present experiments under precisely controlled conditions.

II. THEORY AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS.

The NMR radio-frequency pulse sequence developed by Zhang, Meier and Ernst [7] (ZME), involves cross-polarization (CP) [9], to achieve a two-way transfer of polarization [10] among the spin S of a rare ^{13}C (1.1% abundance) and the I_1 spin of a directly bonded ^1H . Then, the ^{13}C can be used as a *local probe* that injects magnetization in the proton and later captures it. The set of coupled protons within a molecule constitutes the *mesoscopic system* where the spin dynamics can be monitored, while the rest of the crystal constitutes a 'weakly' interacting reservoir. We measure this spin dynamics in a polycrystalline sample of ferrocene, $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Fe}$, (Fig.2). Since the dipolar interaction depends on the angle between the internuclear vector and the magnetic field, in a general molecule each pair of nuclei can have a different interaction parameter. Therefore, the resulting Hamiltonian is a particular case of:

$$\mathcal{H}_{II} = \sum_{j>k} \sum_k d_{j,k} \left[\alpha 2I_j^z I_k^z - \frac{1}{2} (I_j^+ I_k^- + I_j^- I_k^+) \right], \quad (1)$$

where subscripts indicate spins. For $\alpha = 0$, it defines an XY model, $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ describes the J -coupling or Heisenberg model, and $\alpha = 1$ is the truncated dipolar Hamiltonian. In ferrocene

at room temperature, the rings perform very fast rotations around the C_5 molecular axis ($\tau_R \approx 10^{-12}\text{s}$) [11]. In this last case $d_{j,k}$ are the time-averaged [12] interactions parameters depending only upon the angle between the rotation axis and the magnetic field. For each initial state, $|i\rangle$, with site 1 polarized, the probability of finding the same site polarized in the state $\langle f |$ after a time t is:

$$P_{f,i}(t) = \left| \langle f | \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}_{II} t\right] | i \rangle \right|^2, \quad (2)$$

from which the polarization can be calculated summing over all the N_i and N_f possible initial and final states:

$$M^I(t) = 2 \left[\sum_f^{N_f} \sum_i^{N_i} \frac{1}{N_i} P_{f,i}(t) - \frac{1}{2} \right]. \quad (3)$$

This is the magnitude which presents quantum mesoscopic beats as function of t . For the case $\alpha=0$, (XY-model) an exact mapping [13] to a *non-interacting* fermions system, allows to sum up the terms in Eq. (3) and write the magnetization just as $M^I(t) = \left| \langle 1 | \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}_{II} t\right] | 1 \rangle \right|^2$, the square modulus of a single particle wave function, where state $|1\rangle$ has spin I_1 "up" and all the others "down". Even when the $\alpha \neq 0$ cases map to *interacting* particles, the essence of this excitation dynamics is retained until intermediate times.

The best condition to observe quantum beats [5] occurs when the polarization is quantized along the external magnetic field (laboratory frame). This is because the neglect of non-secular terms in the dipolar Hamiltonian is better justified and, since dipolar interactions are maximized, the time scale of quantum dynamics shrinks minimizing the effects of other interactions leading to relaxation. The complete pulse sequence is schematized in Fig. 3. A $(\pi/2)_x$ pulse on the abundant ^1H spins system creates a polarization that is transferred during t_C to the rare ^{13}C system when both are irradiated at their respective resonant frequencies with field strengths fulfilling the Hartmann-Hahn [9] condition $\gamma_I B_{1I}^y = \gamma_S B_{1S}^y \equiv \omega_{1S}$ (here $\omega_{1S} = 2\pi \times 44.6\text{kHz}$). After decay of the proton spin coherence during time $t_S = 1\text{ms}$ the most relevant part begins: **A)** The magnetization from an initially y polarized S spin is transferred to the y -axis of the I_1 spin through a CP pulse of duration

$t_d = 85\mu\text{s}$ (the shortest maximizing the polarization transfer [14] for the selected orientation). **B)** A $(\pi/2)_x$ pulse tilts the polarization to the laboratory frame. **C)** The I -spins evolve freely during a time t_2 . Thus, the relevant evolution of the spin-diffusion sequence occurs in the laboratory frame while S -irradiation prevents the system-probe coupling. **D)** A $(\pi/2)_{-x}$ pulse tilts the polarization back to the rotating xy plane. **E)** Another CP pulse of length $t_p = t_d$ is applied to transfer back the polarization to the x -axis of S . **F)** The S polarization is detected while the I -system is kept irradiated (high-resolution condition). An important feature in the pulse sequence of fig 2 is that while during t_2 the spins evolve with Hamiltonian (1), during CP periods t_d and t_p the proton spins evolve with a Hamiltonian which after truncations is $\mathcal{H}'_{II} = -[\frac{1}{2}]\mathcal{H}_{II}$. Thus a part of the free evolution time t_2 (around $t_m = [\frac{1}{2}](t_d + t_p)/2 = 42.5\mu\text{s}$) is spent going backwards in time (the Loschmidt's daemon [15] is working!) compensating the undesired evolution. In the ZME experiment an additional polarization evolution in the rotating frame is allowed by keeping the r.f. in the proton system during a time t_1 (i.e. after CP shown in A and before the tilting pulse B). Therefore a time $t_2 = \frac{1}{2}t_1 + t_m$ is required to reach the local polarization maximum (polarization echo), after which it evolves according to Eq. 3.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.

In a polycrystal all the angles between the molecular rotation axis and the magnetic field are equally present. Therefore the ^{13}C spectrum has a shape typical of axially symmetric systems with a very well developed peak corresponding to molecules with their rotational axis lying in the plane perpendicular to the field. Then, if we select the signal intensity at this exact frequency, we are monitoring the spin dynamics *only* on those molecules which have the same intramolecular interactions ($d_{1,2} = 1576\text{Hz} \times 2\pi\hbar$). Besides, the carrier frequency is set to have this peak exactly on-resonance avoiding time evolution with chemical shift. The experimental results with the sequence of Fig 3 are shown in Figure 4 with circles. Diamonds correspond to a run with the full sequence [7] of ZME with $t_1 = 80\mu\text{s}$. It is

presented here as a function of t_2 with a shift $-t_1/2$ and properly normalized. The line is obtained by a spline fitting. For short times we see that superimposed to a parabolic curve with a maximum (polarization echo) around t_m there are high frequency oscillations. This is a *novel quantum phenomenon* that we discuss below. Local polarization decreases until a *quantum beat* appears with a clearly developed maximum at $\approx 370\mu\text{s}$. A second maximum which, on the basis of numerical analysis, we identify with the *mesoscopic beat*, develops at $520\mu\text{s}$ but it is overcome by an overall attenuation with a characteristic time of about $500\mu\text{s}$. This attenuation contains both the interaction with neighbor molecules and decoherent processes. The inset shows a sequence of ideal (without couplings to ^{13}C) evolutions, $M^I(t)$, calculated with Hamiltonian (1) for a single ring and complete molecule (10 spins in Fig. 2) as described in [5]. The single ring is the upper dotted curve and is the case where our previous study of different correlation functions allowed the identification of the second peak at $580\mu\text{s}$ as a mesoscopic beat [5]. For the molecule we distinguish four situations: rings rotating independently but keeping a) a staggered configuration, or b) an eclipsed one; molecule rotating rigidly with their rings in c) a staggered configuration or d) an eclipsed one. Each of these gives different sets of time averaged interaction parameters and represents a progressive increase in the inter-ring interactions which produces the consequent attenuation of the interference phenomena. An important conclusion to be drawn from this sequence is that while changes in the short time evolution, $M^I(t) \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\Delta\omega^2} \times t^2$, are not much apparent, the effect of those interactions in blurring out the long range interferences is decisive. This is evident in the decrease of the mesoscopic beat. The above arguments also lead to interpret the additional attenuation shown up in the experimental curve as due to intermolecular interactions. Therefore, although the experimental data can not be fitted to our simulation with small number of spins, the comparison of these theoretical curves with the experimental one establishes that the rings rotate independently, a result compatible with conclusions drawn from proton T_1 measurements [16], but can not distinguish between staggered and eclipsed configurations. The clear correlation between the positions of the experimental and calculated peaks indicates that this is the first observation of a mesoscopic

beat in a system of spins with magnetic dipolar interactions.

The short time oscillations can be explained as follows: Polarization initially at the ^{13}C nuclei has to be transferred to the proton system. Since systems with more than one proton have an energy uncertainty due to the inter-proton interaction, it is not possible to obtain an 'exact' Hartmann-Hahn condition [10]. Therefore, the initial S polarized state *splits* in two: Polarization *stays* at S (state A) with a probability amplitude $a = \cos \phi$, or it *jumps* to the proton system (state B) with a probability amplitude $b = i \sin \phi$. During the free evolution time the state A acquires an additional phase factor due to the spin lock field. When a new CP pulse allows these states to *rejoin*, the phase produces the oscillation in the measured intensity. The simplest model showing this phenomenon considers a situation in which the incomplete ($|b|^2 < 1$) CP transfer occurs *instantaneously*, with both polarization transfers along the y -direction, and the spin dynamics of only two ^1H with an XY interaction. Then the polarization injected in one of them at time $t_2 = 0$ will be found again there with a probability amplitude $m_B(t) = \cos[\frac{1}{2}\Omega_0 t]$, with Ω_0 obtained as the difference between singlet and triplet eigenenergies. The ideal magnetization is then $M^I(t) = |m_B(t)|^2$. Because of r.f. irradiation during t_2 , the A polarization amplitude is $m_A(t) = \exp[i\omega_{1S} t]$. The magnetization measured at the ^{13}C non-ideal probe after a new contact pulse can be written, after some algebra, as

$$M^S(t) = |b|^4 M^I(t) + 2 \times b^2 \cos[\frac{1}{2}\Omega_0 t] \times a^2 \cos[\omega_{1S} t] + |a|^4. \quad (4)$$

Here we identify an interference term proportional to the waiting amplitude, $m_B(t)$. To emphasize the effect we re-write this as: $M^S(t) = |b^2 m_B(t) + a^2 m_A(t)|^2$. The first term represents the probability amplitude for the S polarization to be transferred to the proton system, times the probability amplitude for the magnetization to remain in the original proton site (waiting amplitude), times the probability amplitude to be transferred back to S ; the other is the probability amplitude for the polarization to remain in S , evolve and still remain in the ^{13}C . In the actual experiment (Fig. 3) the second transfer is to the x -axis, this cancels out the last term in Eq. (4) and decreases by a factor of 2 the interference

term. That gives a coefficient $|a|^2 / |b|^2$ for the relative importance of the oscillations. From these considerations, the experimental oscillation amplitude implies that there is about 90% efficiency in the polarization transfer from ^{13}C . This is also consistent with the experimental lower bound of 85% efficiency for each CP transfer obtained from the ratio of intensities measured with $t_2 = 0$ and with a sequence in which portions A-E have been suppressed. This simple case has the virtue to present a structure that is maintained for more complex proton systems: the first and third terms in Eq. (4) represent the classical effect of sum of probabilities, the second term is an interference one. In general, the frequencies involved in the first two terms are not the same. Those contributing to the first have the form $\Omega_{ij} = (E_i^N - E_j^N)/\hbar$, where the superscript in the eigenenergies of Hamiltonian (1) indicates the number of "up" spins in the proton system, while the interference term contains the frequencies $\Omega'_{ij} = (E_i^{N+1} - E_j^N)/\hbar$ i.e. the subspaces mixed by CP. Hence the expression of the observed polarization $M^S(t_2)$ is not simple. A better model takes into account the evolution in the proton system during the CP periods. Its numerical integration gives, in agreement with the experiments, both an overall shift in the oscillation toward frequencies higher than ω_{1S} and an oscillation maximum which precedes that of $M^I(t_2 - t_m)$. Further comparisons between models and experiments in simple systems should provide useful information about decoherence processes and efficiency of cross polarization pulses. On a more speculative scope, we notice that the described manipulation of polarization is of the type proposed to implement simple quantum computations [17] and its further understanding could also contribute to this developing field.

One might wonder why these wealth of quantum phenomena were not seen in the ZME experiments performed in a single crystal [7]. In order to observe the interference between polarization pathways it is important to take data at short enough time intervals. The experiments of ZME were performed at about the characteristic period ($2\pi/\omega_{1S}$) and that explains why they could not see this phenomenon. Note that use of higher r.f. power would make the observation even more elusive. Finally, as mentioned above, in a polycrystal we

are observing *simultaneously* all the molecules which have their rotational axis in the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field; among them there are some molecules that have the interactions with their neighbors minimized while other that have them maximized (in a single crystal this shows up as an orientation dependent second moment $\overline{\Delta\omega^2}$). While rings with stronger interaction contribute with polarization evolutions which do not have quantum beats but decay steadily much as the plots c) and d) in the inset of Fig. (3), the molecules in which the characteristic inter-ring vectors form an angle close to the magic angle can contribute with well defined quantum beats. The importance of the interaction of neighbor molecules is appreciated in the overall decay of polarization observed in the experimental data in contrast with the finite asymptotic polarization in the exact solution of an isolated molecule. While our experimental data are a superposition of these typical behaviors, the reported single crystal data, are probably arising from an orientation favoring intermolecular interactions. Therefore we expect that by choosing the appropriate orientation in the single crystal a mesoscopic beat better developed than the one shown here should be observed.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

This work was performed with a Bruker MSL 300 NMR spectrometer at LANAIS de RMN (UNC-CONICET) with financial support from Fundación Antorchas, CONICOR and SeCyT-UNC.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. L. Altshuler, P. A. Lee and R. A. Webb, Eds. *Mesoscopic Phenomena*, North Holland, (Amsterdam 1992).
- [2] L. Esaki, Rev. Mod. Phys. **46** (1974) 237.
- [3] H. M. Pastawski, Phys. Rev. B. **44** (1991) 6329 *ibid.* **46** (1992) 4053; N. S. Wingreen, A-P. Jauho and Y. Meir, Phys. Rev. B **48** (1993) 8487.
- [4] V. N. Prigodin, B. L. Altshuler, K. B. Efetov and S. Iida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** (1994) 546.
- [5] H. M. Pastawski, P. R. Levstein and G. Usaj, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 4310.
- [6] L. Braunschweiler and R. R. Ernst, J. Magn. Res. **53** (1983) 521; M. Rance, Chem. Phys. Lett., **154** (1989) 242.
- [7] S. Zhang, B. H. Meier, and R. R. Ernst, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 2149; Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. **1** (1993) 313.
- [8] J. Hirschinger and M. Hervé, Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. **3** (1994) 121.
- [9] S. R. Hartmann and E. L. Hahn, Phys. Rev. **128** (1962) 2042.
- [10] M. H. Levitt, D. Suter and R. R. Ernst, J. Chem. Phys. **84** (1986) 4243.
- [11] P. Seiler and J. D. Dunitz, Acta Crystallog. Sect. B **35** (1979) 1068.
- [12] C. P. Slichter, *Principles of Magnetic Resonance* (Springer, New York, 1992).
- [13] H. B. Cruz and L. L. Gonçalves, J. Phys. C Solid St. Phys. **14** (1981) 2785.
- [14] L. Müller, A. Kumar, T. Baumann and R. R. Ernst, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32** (1974) 1402.
- [15] W. -K. Rhim, A. Pines and J. S. Waugh, Phys. Rev. Lett., **25** (1971) 218.
- [16] A. Kubo, R. Ikeda and D. Nakamura, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., **82** (1986) 1543.

[17] D. P. DiVincenzo, Science **270** (1995) 255.

Figure 1: Evolution of the local magnetic polarization $M^I(t)$ for a ring of $N = 21$ spins at infinite temperature with an XY ($\alpha = 0$) interaction $d = 1576 \text{ Hz} \times 2\pi\hbar$. Fine line is the square modulus of the J_0 Bessel function, exact solution of an infinite chain. At short times a series of quantum beats (at around $t_n \simeq z_n\hbar/d$, z_n the zeroes of J_1) are developed which decay with a t^{-1} law, indicating the excitation propagation. At around $2330\mu\text{s} = t_{\text{m.b.}}$ it appears a revival of the polarization corresponding to the excitation winding around the ring. The inset shows the mesoscopic beat time, $t_{\text{m.b.}}$, for different ring sizes. Notice that $t_{\text{m.b.}} \propto N \times a/v$, where a is a lattice constant and $v \simeq ad/\hbar$ an effective group velocity.

Figure 2: Ferrocene molecule in a staggered configuration. Fe atom is at center. Hydrogen atoms are labeled starting from the one which has a rare ^{13}C directly bonded. The eclipsed configuration is obtained rotating any ring 36° around the C_5 axis.

Figure 3: Pulse sequence for proton spin 'diffusion' in the *laboratory frame*. A $(\pi/2)_x$ pulse on the abundant I spins creates polarization. This is transferred during t_C to a rare ^{13}C spin S . After decay of proton spin coherence during t_S : **A**) The S magnetization is transferred to the y -axis of I_1 through a CP pulse of duration t_d . **B**) A $(\pi/2)_x$ pulse tilts the polarization to the *laboratory frame* where **C**) it evolves freely during t_2 . **D**) A $(\pi/2)_{-x}$ pulse tilts it back to y and **E**) a CP pulse of length $t_p = t_d$ transfers the polarization to the x -axis of S where **F**) it is recorded. Dashed and dotted lines show main and secondary probability amplitude pathways for the polarization.

Figure 4: Evolution of a laboratory frame ^1H spin polarization in ferrocene as detected in a directly bonded ^{13}C spin. Notice the maxima at $\approx 370\mu\text{s}$ and $\approx 520\mu\text{s}$ (mesoscopic beat)

and quantum interference oscillations of period $16\mu\text{s}$. **Inset:** Calculated ideal evolution of local ^1H polarization in an isolated ring (dots) and in the complete molecule (see Fig 2) where the rings rotate independently in: **a)** staggered (full line) or **b)** eclipsed (small dashed) configurations, and the rigid rotating molecule keeping: **c)** staggered (long-dashed) or **d)** eclipsed (dot-dashed) rings.